IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICTT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS

| UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, |) |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Plaintiff, |) |
| VS. |) Case No. 18-cr-30041-NJR-1 |
| AUSTIN N. JONES, |) |
| Defendant. |) |
| ORDER FOR I | <u>DETENTION</u> |
| WILLIAMS, Magistrate Judge: | |
| the Bail Reform Act. Based on the evidence contained in the Pretrial Services Report and the Government's Motion to Detain (Doc. 6), and detained pursuant to 18 U.S.C. §§ 3142(e) and (i) B. Statement of Reasons for the Detention The Court hereby ORDERS the defendant By a preponderance of the evidence will reasonably assure the appearant By clear and convincing evidence to the state of the | Indictment (Doc. 1), the Court GRANTS the hereby ORDERS the above-named defendant. t DETAINED because it finds: te that no condition or combination of conditions. |
| C. Finding of Fact The Court's findings are based on the information contained in the Pretrial Servi (1) Nature and circumstances of the o (a) The crime: | |
| Count 1: Stealing Firearms from a U.S.C. 922(u). | Federal Firearms Licensee in violation of 18 |
| <u>Count 2:</u> Felon in possession of a fi | rearm in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 922(g)(1). |

| (b) The offense is a crime of violence. (c) The offense is a Federal crime of terrorism. (d) The offense involves a minor victim. ✓ (e) The offense involves a controlled substance, firearm, explosive, or destructive device; (f) The offense involves a narcotic drug. (g) The offense involves a large amount of controlled substances, to wit: |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| (2) The weight of the evidence against the defendant is high. |
| ✓ (3) The history and characteristics of the defendant, including: (a) General Factors: ✓ The defendant appears to have a mental condition which may affect whether the defendant will appear. The defendant has no family ties in the area. The defendant has no steady employment. The defendant is not a long time resident of the community. The defendant does not have any significant community ties. ✓ Past conduct of the defendant: lack of compliance with prior supervised release conditions ✓ The defendant has a history relating to drug abuse. The defendant has a history relating to alcohol abuse. The defendant has a significant prior criminal record. The defendant has a prior record of failure to appear at court proceedings. (b) Whether the defendant was on probation, parole, or release by a court: At the time of the current arrest, the defendant was on: |
| ✓ (4) The nature and seriousness of the danger by the defendant's release are as follows: The Defendant appears to have a serious drug problem, mental health problems, and there is evidence that the Defendant committed an armed robbery while on parole. |
| (5) Rebuttable Presumptions |

The Court finds the defendant is subject to and has not rebutted the rebuttable presumption that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required and the safety of any other person and the community, as set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(e). In determining that the defendant is subject to one of the rebuttable presumptions set forth in either 18 U.S.C. § 3142(e), the Court finds:

| | Pursuan | t to 18 | U.S.C. § 3142(e)(2), |
|------|---------|----------------|--------------------------------------------------------------|
| | | (A) | the defendant has been convicted of a Federal |
| | | | offense that is described in subsection (f)(1) of this |
| | | | section, or of a State or local offense that would have |
| | | | been such an offense if a circumstance giving rise to |
| | | | Federal jurisdiction had existed; |
| | | (B) | the offense described in subparagraph (A) was |
| | | (2) | committed while the defendant was on release |
| | | | pending trial for a Federal, State or local offense; |
| | | | AND |
| | | (C) | a period of not more than five years has elapsed since |
| | | (C) | the date of conviction, or the release of the person |
| | | | from imprisonment for the offense described in |
| | | | subparagraph (A), whichever is later. |
| OR, | | | subparagraph (A), whichever is later. |
| OII, | | | |
| | Pursuan | t to 18 | U.S.C. § 3142(e)(3), there is probable cause to believe that |
| | | | committed— |
| | (| (A) | an offense for which a maximum term of |
| | (-2) | | imprisonment of ten years or more is prescribed in |
| | | | the Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. 801 et |
| | | | seq.), the Controlled Substances Import and Export |
| | | | Act (21 U.S.C. 951 et seq.), or chapter 705 of title |
| | | | 46; |
| | | (B) | an offense under section 924(c), 956(a), or 2332b of |
| | | (D) | this title; |
| | | (C) | an offense listed in section 2332b(g)(5)(B) of title |
| | | (C) | 18, United States Code, for which a maximum term |
| | | | of imprisonment of 20 years or more is prescribed; |
| | | (D) | <u> </u> |
| | | (D) | an offense under chapter 77 of this title for which a |
| | | | maximum term of imprisonment of 20 years or more |
| | | (E) | is prescribed; or |
| | | (E) | an offense involving a minor victim under section |
| | | | 1201, 1591, 2241, 2242, 2244(a)(1), 2245, 2251, |
| | | | 2251A, 2252(a)(1), 2252(a)(2), 2252(a)(3), |
| | | | 2252A(a)(1), 2252A(a)(2), 2252A(a)(3), |
| | | | 2252A(a)(4), 2260, 2421, 2422, 2423 or 2425 of this |
| | | | title. |

D. Alternatives to Detention Considered

The Court, in finding that there are no conditions or combination of conditions which reasonably will assure the defendant's appearance and the safety of any other person and the community, has considered and rejected alternatives. Among the alternatives considered, the Court has rejected house arrest, electronic monitoring, and a daily reporting scheme. In rejecting these alternatives, the Court notes the gravity of the offense and the substantial penalties which may possibly be imposed.

E. Additional Directives

Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3142(i)(2)-(4), the Court directs that:

- 1. The defendant be committed to the custody of the Attorney General for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal; and
- 2. The defendant be afforded reasonable opportunity for private consultation with counsel; and
- 3. That, on order of a court of the United States, or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility in which the defendant is confined deliver the defendant to a United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: April 13, 2018

/s/ Stephen C. Williams
STEPHEN C. WILLIAMS
United States Magistrate Judge